

#### University of Sydney Union

The University of Sydney NSW 2006

1800 013 201 (02) 9563 6000

F (02) 9563 6216

E info@usu.usyd.edu.auW www.usydunion.com

# UNIVERSITY OF SYDNEY UNION SECRETARIAT

The attached minutes have been accepted by the Clubs & Societies Committee by resolution as a true and accurate record of the events of the meeting held on the 15 May 2012

Acting Chair. Jacqueline Munro

Date



| Committee<br>Members | Jacqui Munro (Chair), Zac Thompson (Vice President, ex-officio),   |
|----------------------|--|
|                      | Anshu de Silva Wijeyeratne, Alexander Hanbury-Brown, Cameron Creigh, Peta Blundell, Ganesh Vaheisvaran, Victoria Grimshaw, Alexandra Brown.                                |
| USU Staff            |  |
|                      | Kristy Samal (Committee Secretary), Angela Vogiatsoglou (Clubs and Societies Manager), Rhys Pogonoski (Treasurer), Gayda de Mesa (Clubs and Societies Office Assistant)    |
| Visitors             | Paco Leung, Rebecca Elias, Jason Cehalo, Heather Clarksen, Curtis Dickson, Jamal Kayrouz, Jonny Kristeller, Emmanuel Sebastiae, Elie Mazae, Pei-chen Hsu, Christopher Chen |

| Resolution No# | Details   |
|----------------|---|
|                | The Chair opened the meeting at 3:03pm.   |
| C&S<br>072/12  | Resolved to accept apologies from Alistair Cowie, Sibella Matthews, Shane Treeves and Karen Chau and to accept early leave requests from Cameron Creigh, Alexander Hanbury-Brown and Zac Thompson.  |
|                | Anshu de Silva Wijeyeratne/ Peta Blundell   |
| C&S<br>073/12  | Resolved that standing orders be suspended and that discussion move to item 4.2 New Clubs.  |
|                | Victoria Grimshaw/ Ganesh Vaheisvaran   |
|                | 4.2 New Club Applications   |
|                | University of Sydney Disney Society  Ms. Jacqui Munro called for the society representatives to speak to their new club application.  |
|                | Mr. Paco Leung, President of the University of Sydney Disney Society, explained that the Disney society was created to give people the opportunity to remember childhood memories and to share the morals espoused in the Disney movies. Mr. Leung explained that University is not meant to be all about the degree but is also about memories. He suggested that the Disney Society would be able to help students keep their childhood memories intact. Mr. Leung also mentioned that the 'Hakuna Matata' moral would be employed to remind students to relax and avoid excess stress. Mr. Leung outlined how the society planned to host fortnightly dessert nights as well as occasional trivia and movie nights. The society also plans to host a major event in the form of a Disney Ball or formal where students can enjoy dressing up in costume. |
|                | Ms. Munro opened the floor to questions from the Committee. Mr. Anshu de Silva Wijeyeratne expressed his concern at the 'Disney' name, asking whether   |



the society would be amenable to changing the name of the society so as to avoid copyright infringement. Mr. Leung replied that the society would be happy to change the name considering possible copyright issues.

Mr. de Silva Wijeyeratne enquired as to what made Disney morals and values unique and why things such as anime or Pixar were not included. He also questioned the sustainability of the club's 'Hakuna Matata' ideology.

Mr. Leung answered that the society wanted to focus on the Disney morals that children are instilled with from an early age, such as 'respect for others', and with particular focus on the classics. Mr. Leung argued that Disney has a wider outreach in that almost everyone can identify with Disney rather than more obscure or niche things like anime or cartoons.

Ms. Alexandra Brown asked about the society's constitutional aims. She enquired as to whether the society would be amenable to formalising the aims given the legal nature of a club's constitution.

Mr. Leung replied that the society would be happy to provide an alternative constitution with formalised aims.

Ms. Peta Blundell enquired as to whether the society would be engaging in charity work. Mr. Leung explained that the club was interested in becoming involved in children's foundations given their relevance with Disney morals.

Ms. Munro thanked the speakers for their time.

#### Young Australian Lebanese Association (YALA)

Ms. Munro invited the representatives to speak to their application.

Mr. Elie Mazar explained the values of the club to be increasing cultural awareness for both Lebanese and non-Lebanese students alike. He added that the club was not Arabic or religiously affiliated and therefore fills a gap in the C&S program. Ms. Jamal Kayrouz added that the club believed it was important for other people to experience and better understand Lebanese culture.

Mr. Mazar outlined the club's plans to involve prominent Lebanese speakers for events. He also explained that the club hoped to better promote the opportunities offered by the Australian Lebanese Foundation (ALF) which currently does not have many University of Sydney student contacts.

Ms. Brown enquired about the club's independence. Mr. Mazar responded in the affirmative.

Mr. Cameron Creigh asked the representatives to explain how the ALF works currently. Mr. Mazar explained that the ALF is one of two foundations within the University of Sydney. The foundation is governed by rules but is permitted to include members who are not students. By providing University scholarships the foundation aims to promote the university and is therefore permitted to use the University logo. This is controlled by strict regulations set by the University. Mr. Mazar explained that the foundation was not a society and was not purely for students.



Mr. de Silva Wijeyeratne asked about why the Ordinary Membership fee was more expensive than Associate Membership fee. Mr. Mazar explained that this resulted from confusion in the terminology and was a clerical era that would be adjusted.

Ms. Blundell asked whether the club was interested in cross cultural membership rather than focusing purely on Lebanese identifying students.

Mr. Mazar stated that the club would be open and promoted to everyone.

#### LifeChoice Sydney

Ms. Munro invited the club representatives to speak to their application.

Mr. Jason Cehalo, Assistant Secretary of LifeChoice Sydney stated that the club was founded on prolife values focusing on issues of abortion and euthanasia. Mr. Cehalo stated that as the society was not religiously or politically affiliated, they represented an original society that would attract people despite their political affiliations or religious backgrounds. He explained that the society intended to hold forums and public meetings discussing the issues.

Ms. Rebecca Elias, President of LifeChoice Sydney added that the society represented a nondenominational, non political society that aimed to discuss issues in a non-confrontational manger.

Mr. Ganesh Vaheisvaran enquired as to whether the society represented more of a discussion forum rather than an organisation. Mr. Cehalo responded that the society represented more than pure discussion as the society does have a particular standpoint on the issues. He added that the society's aim was to promote the dignity of life from birth to death and that the society needed resources available to clubs, such as rooms.

Ms. Elias explained that the majority of events would be held for members of the society and would therefore represent more of a community rather than a discussion forum.

Mr. de Silva Wijeyeratne asked whether discussion of the issues could be pursued within other existing forums such as the Politics or Philosophy societies.

Ms. Elias replied that the societies mentioned by Mr. de Silva Wijeyeratne all derived from a particular religious or political background. In the case of the philosophy clubs on campus, Ms. Elias explained that rather than dealing with the issues abstractly, they wished to discuss them as they pertained to everyday life. She added that the club's wish to look only at human life would be restricted within these other domains.

Mr. Cehalo stressed that whilst the society wanted to act in a non-confrontational manner they did have a stance and wished to be able to promote this stance.



Mr. Vaheisvaran asked how many events the society intended to hold per year given the narrow focus.

Mr. Cehalo replied that the society would host one major forum per term as well as smaller internal events. Ms. Elias explained that the society would host fortnightly events for its members and would possibly hold a week intensive event at University where the society may have stalls and social events. She added that whilst the public events would be held less often, events for regular members would be held more frequently.

Ms. Brown asked how the society intended to manage possible confrontation and protests.

Ms. Elias replied that the society planned to clarify its non-confrontational stance and would supply training for anyone running stalls in order to appropriately deal with confrontation. She mentioned that the society's view on prolife extended to being prolife throughout ones actions.

Ms. Victoria Grimshaw questioned whether the society intended to engage with other clubs and societies to hold discussions on different viewpoints of the issues. Mr. Cehalo replied that as of yet the society had no concrete plans past forums.

Mr. de Silva Wijeyeratne suggested that it may be more beneficial for the society to engage in these issues in a broader manner rather than so specifically due to its contentious nature. Ms. Elias argued that a wide base of people at University supported the society's viewpoint. She added that several contentious issues already exist at University and that the society still had the right to pursue and promote its views. Ms. Elias further stated that whilst the society and its supporters may be a minority, they existed as a large minority and deserved to be heard. Mr. Cehalo supported Ms. Elias' comment.

Mr. de Silva Wijeyeratne stated that approving this club would mean the Committee were free to approve a pro-choice society too. Mr. Cehalo and Ms. Elias agreed with his remark and stated that such a society should be approved and that the LifeChoice society would be happy to involve them in discussion. Ms. Elias compared the situation to the various political and religious clubs whose values are in opposition but still exist on campus.

Ms. Brown asked the representatives how much of their membership list was derived from students involved in similar right-wing political or religious societies. Ms. Elias responded that it was a significant proportion but explained that this resulted from the executives contacting friends and did not accurately represent other students interested in the society at University. Mr. Cehalo added that whilst most of their members do come from religious backgrounds, these are diverse.

#### 5. Other Business

#### SU Christian Students Uniting

Ms. Munro thanked the representatives for coming and invited them to speak to their funding appeal.



|               | MINUIES  |
|---------------|--|
|               | Ms. Heather Clarksen, Treasurer of SU Christian Students Uniting, explained that the club executive's confusion surrounding whether to upload the executive list after O-Week had meant that the club had failed to submit all necessary administration to receive funding. Mr. Curtis Dickson, Secretary to the club, added that the club realised they had made a mistake and pleaded for the Committee's leniency given that this was the only funding the club claimed throughout the entire year. |
|               | Mr. Creigh asked whether club executives had attended training. Ms. Clarksen responded that she had. Mr. Creigh enquired whether the executive lists had been mentioned, to which she replied that they had but that she had been under the impression that because their executive had not changed it was not necessary to upload a new list.   |
|               | Ms. Angela Vogiatsoglou spoke to the club's appeal, outlining that whilst the club was advised via email on the 2 <sup>nd</sup> and 9 <sup>th</sup> of March that the executive lists were to be uploaded this was the club's first offence. She added that O-week funding was the only funding the club claimed throughout the year.  |
|               | Ms. Brown asked the representatives how the society would function if the Committee did not approve the \$300.00 in funding. Ms. Clarksen explained that since they had already purchased and back paid they would have to put in a special request to their church in order to make up any losses.  |
|               | Mr. de Silva Wijeyeratne asked the speakers to clarify why they had not consulted the C&S office if they had been confused regarding uploading the executive lists. Ms. Clarksen explained that she had thought that since nothing had changed on the executive and that the list was still accessible on the portal that she did not have to upload a new one.  |
|               | 4.4 Constitutional Amendments  |
|               | RUSSOC   |
| C&S           | Resolved that the meeting move in-camera.  |
| 074/12        | Anshu de Silva Wijeyeratne/Alexander Hanbury-Brown   |
| C&S<br>075/12 | Resolved to invite guests Rhys Pogonoski, Jonny Kristeller and Emmanuel Sebastiae to participate within the <i>in-camera</i> discussion  |
|               | Alexander Hanbury-Brown/ Peta Blundell.  |
| C&S           | Resolved that the meeting move out-of-camera   |
| 076/12        | Alexandra Brown/ Alexander Hanbury-Brown   |
|               | Zac Thompson and Cameron Creigh left the meeting at 4:03pm   |
|               | SU Taiwanese Student Association  Ms. Munro thanked the representatives for attending and invited them to speak to their funding appeal.   |



|                    | MINUIES   |
|--------------------|---|
|                    | Mr. Christopher Chen explained that the society was appealing the rejection of their accommodation form as they did not realise that they had to fill out a separate form for accommodation and subsequently filled all information out on a pre-event form.  |
|                    | Ms. Blundell asked whether the executives had attended C&S training. Mr. Chen answered in the affirmative.  |
|                    | Mr. Alexander Hanbury-Brown enquired as to whether the accommodation had been paid. Mr. Chen replied that it had, as all the executives had contributed to the cost but that the club remained \$400.00 down.   |
|                    | Ms. Munro referenced the club's previous funding appeals and asked why the issues had not been addressed with the C&S Office.   |
|                    | Ms. Vogiatsoglou explained that two of the previous occasions could be dismissed. She added that the club had not understood that if there was no exchange of money, no pre-event form was needed. She also stated that it was pretty easy to understand the club's mistake regarding the accommodation form and pre-event form and the Office has subsequently run through the procedure with the club.  |
|                    | Ms. Blundell enquired as to whether these details and procedures had been documented somewhere for the club's future reference. Mr. Chen replied that it had.   |
|                    |   |
| C&S<br>077/12      | Resolved to resume standing orders and that discussion move to item 2. Minutes.   |
|                    | <del>-</del>  |
|                    | Minutes.  |
|                    | Minutes.  Peta Blundell/ Victoria Grimshaw  |
|                    | Minutes.  Peta Blundell/ Victoria Grimshaw  2. Minutes  |
| 077/12<br>C&S      | Minutes.  Peta Blundell/ Victoria Grimshaw  2. Minutes  2.1 Regular Minutes Of The Meeting Held 20 March 2012  Resolved that the regular minutes of 20 March 2012 be accepted as a true and accurate record of proceedings pending amendments outlined  |
| 077/12<br>C&S      | Minutes.  Peta Blundell/ Victoria Grimshaw  2. Minutes  2.1 Regular Minutes Of The Meeting Held 20 March 2012  Resolved that the regular minutes of 20 March 2012 be accepted as a true and accurate record of proceedings pending amendments outlined by the Committee.  |
| 077/12<br>C&S      | Minutes.  Peta Blundell/ Victoria Grimshaw  2. Minutes  2.1 Regular Minutes Of The Meeting Held 20 March 2012  Resolved that the regular minutes of 20 March 2012 be accepted as a true and accurate record of proceedings pending amendments outlined by the Committee.  Alexandra Brown/ Anshu de Silva Wijeyeratne   |
| 077/12  C&S 078/12 | Minutes.  Peta Blundell/ Victoria Grimshaw  2. Minutes  2.1 Regular Minutes Of The Meeting Held 20 March 2012  Resolved that the regular minutes of 20 March 2012 be accepted as a true and accurate record of proceedings pending amendments outlined by the Committee.  Alexandra Brown/ Anshu de Silva Wijeyeratne  2.2 Regular Minutes Of The Meeting Held 17 April 2012  Resolved the regular minutes of 17 April 2012 be accepted as a true and accurate record of proceedings pending amendments outlined by the |



|        | MINUIES  Pote Plumdell/Viotorio Crimoleous  |
|--------|---|
|        | Peta Blundell/ Victoria Grimshaw  |
|        | Victoria Grimshaw left the room at 4:10pm. Victoria Grimshaw returned to the room at 4:12pm   |
|        | 4. General Business 4.1 Clubs and Manager's Report  |
|        | Ms. Vogiatsoglou opened the floor to questions regarding the report.  |
|        | Mr. Vaheisvaran enquired about the 'Funding @ Stake'. Ms. Vogiatsoglou explained that this referred to funding where pre-event forms had been submitted but post-event forms were still outstanding.  |
|        | Mr. Vaheisvaran asked why the figures for 2009 were so different to the following and previous years' data. Ms. Vogiatsoglou replied that 2009 had been a massive year for the C&S Program. She highlighted the responsibility of the Committee in ensuring that funding was appropriately distributed to avoid similar situations in the future.   |
|        | Mr. Vaheisvaran asked to clarify whether something in particular had occurred for non-major events between 2009 – 2010. Ms. Vogiatsoglou answered that the funding model had changed every year between 2005 and 2009 but had remained consistent since after 2009 which is why the numbers remained more consistent.   |
| C&S    | Resolved to accept the Clubs and Societies Manager's Report.  |
| 081/12 | Alexander Hanbury-Brown/ Ganesh Vaheisvaran   |
|        | 4.2 New Clubs   |
|        | <u>LifeChoice Sydney</u>  |
|        |   |
|        | Ms. Brown stated that she felt the club was not broad enough due to its focus on only one social issue.   |
|        | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·   |
|        | on only one social issue.  Mr. Vaheisvaran commented that if the Committee decided to approve the club  |
|        | on only one social issue.  Mr. Vaheisvaran commented that if the Committee decided to approve the club it would open the Committee up to requests to discuss other issues.  Ms. Grimshaw argued that the club was still too narrowly focused as it had not  |
|        | on only one social issue.  Mr. Vaheisvaran commented that if the Committee decided to approve the club it would open the Committee up to requests to discuss other issues.  Ms. Grimshaw argued that the club was still too narrowly focused as it had not even considered engagement with other clubs in its proposal.  Ms. Munro added that the membership list closely resembled that of the |



Mr. de Silva Wijeyeratne argued against Ms. Blundell's point, stating that a rejection of the club could also be misconstrued and negatively impact the image of the USU. He added that the needs of the club were not being met by existing clubs and that the issue of only one focus could be compared to charitable clubs and their focus on reducing poverty.

Ms. Brown suggested that whilst RUSSOC would be quite progressive in discussing the issue, other clubs might not be so open to the club's proposed stance.

Mr. de Silva Wijeyeratne argued that the Committee could not validly reject the club on the basis of having a similar membership list to those of political clubs. Ms. Munro disagreed, suggesting that the members of the LifeChoice club already had the ability to discuss the issue. Ms. Blundell argued that this was not the case for those members who were not a part of the Conservative club.

Mr. Vaheisvaran questioned how the club intended to host public forums when they already professed a particular stance on the issue. Ms. Munro agreed, stating that the club was clearly partisan. Mr. de Silva Wijeyeratne enquired whether such a statement argued that club's should either adopt impartial views or be considered a political society.

Ms. Munro stated that the club did not intend to discuss the matter or engage with those who did not hold the same viewpoint. Mr. de Silva Wijeyeratne disagreed, arguing that the club did say they would engage in discussion and were not intending to bar membership.

Ms. Blundell questioned whether the club's existence would foster community on campus. She mentioned that it could come under considerable protest from the SRC.

Mr. Vaheisvaran suggested that the club's focus was too narrow to be able to broaden the community views.

Ms. Vogiatsoglou emphasised the need for the club to consider the longevity of the club given its narrow focus.

Mr. de Silva Wijeyeratne highlighted 3.a(ii) of the USU C&S Regulations arguing that the club did not believe a community did exist that brought together all aspects of this debate and therefore wished to provide a difference in scope.

Ms. Blundell added that fostering the community goes both ways.

Ms. Brown stated that the people possessing the views expressed by the LifeChoice club would usually join religious or political groups in order to discuss such issues.

Mr. de Silva Wijeyeratne cited item 4.d(ii) of the USU C&S Regulations concerning a club's right to freedom of speech. Mr. Vaheisvaran argued that whilst the Committee could respect the right to freedom of speech it did not mean that the Committee had to provide money and the resources that allowed the overt promotion of any view.



Ms. Brown questioned whether the Committee might be able to provide some alternative measures for the club. Ms. Munro stated that she was hesitant to do so, referencing the expectation that clubs should consult with others and gain letters of approval from other existing club communities. Ms. Munro said she believed the same procedure should apply for the LifeChoice Sydney club if it were to be approved.

Mr. de Silva Wijeyeratne added that the need to consider the definition of conservatism as understood by the Committee.

#### Resolved to extend the meeting for a further 30 minutes.

# C&S 082/12

#### Peta Blundell/ Alexandra Brown

Mr. de Silva Wijeyeratne highlighted the need for the Committee to find a relevant and appropriate reason for rejecting the club.

Mr. Vaheisvaran disagreed, arguing that the onus was on the club to argue why they deserve to have a club and to provide sufficient evidence. Mr. de Silva Wijeyeratne disagreed.

Ms. Munro referenced the fact that the Consulting Club and 180 Degrees could have been combined but exist separately. She suggested it would be worthwhile to say that this executive should go to a club and ask if they could host a forum.

Mr. de Silva Wijeyeratne suggested that the narrowness of the club could be considered a legitimate reason to reject the application. Ms. Munro added that approving a club focusing on a single issue could set the precedent for an excess number of single issues to be brought to Committee.

Mr. Hanbury-Brown emphasised that the club's view was also partisan. Ms. Blundell agreed, stating that it was inappropriate for a club to promote just one view of a single issue.

Ms. Munro clarified that the Committee would ask the club to diversify their focus, engage with other issues beyond prolife and involve other clubs.

Mr. de Silva Wijeyeratne added the need to reference why the club would be rejected according to the USU constitution.

The members discussed whether the club could be considered accessible. It was decided that approving the club could restrict the Committee's ability to fund other worthwhile clubs.

Angela Vogiatsoglou left the meeting at 4:41pm

#### C&S 083/12

Resolved that the New Club Application of Lifechoice Sydney be *rejected* on the grounds of item 3.a(i) of the USU C&S Regulations.

Alexandra Brown/ Ganesh Vaheisvaran/Alexander Hanbury-Brown/ Victoria Grimshaw



| MINUIES       |   |
|---------------|---|
|               | Against: Anshu de Silva Wijeyeratne  Abstentions: Peta Blundell   |
|               |   |
| B115/12       | Resolved to approve the Lifechoice Society and the Ukulele Society –  |
|               | Mina Nada/James Flynn 6 in favour and 5 against   |
|               | The Ukulele Society   |
|               | Ms. Munro argued that the society was quite restricted and could be incorporated into other clubs like JazzSoc. Ms. Blundell disagreed, stating that the club could attract a large demographic interested in playing an eclectic instrument that would not be given a lot of attention in other societies.                       |
|               | Ms. Brown argued that since the Ukulele was very easy to learn the club had promoted a strong emphasis on teaching as well. Ms. Munro stated that JazzSoc also taught their members instruments.  |
|               | Ms. Brown suggested tabling the item and asking the representatives to come forward to explain the society in more depth.   |
| C&S<br>084/12 | Resolved that the New Club Application of The Ukelele Society be rejected on the grounds of item 3.a(i). of the USU C&S Regulations.  |
|               | Ganesh Vaheisvaran/ Anshu de Silva Wijeyeratne/ Alexander Hanbury-<br>Brown   |
|               | Against: Alexandra Brown/ Peta Blundell   |
|               | Abstentions: Victoria Grimshaw  |
|               | University of Sydney Disney Society   |
|               | Mr. Vaheisvaran argued that if the USU had approved a Captain Planet society he saw no problems in approving a Disney society.  |
|               | Mr. de Silva Wijeyeratne highlighted the club's need to formalise their aims and change their name to avoid copyright infringement.   |
| C&S<br>085/12 | Resolved that the New Club Application of the University of Sydney Disney Society be approved pending the Committee's recommendation that the club change its name to the Disney Appreciation Society or other name that does not risk copyright infringement and that the club specify its aims in a more constitutional manner. |
|               | Anshu de Silva Wijeyeratne/ Victoria Grimshaw   |



|               | Young Australian Lebanese Association (YALA):  |
|---------------|--|
| C&S<br>086/12 | Resolved that the New Club Application of the Young Australian Lebanese Association (YALA) be approved.  |
|               | Anshu de Silva Wijeyeratne/ Alexandra Brown  |
|               | 4.3 Capital Expenditure Requests   |
| C&S<br>087/12 | Resolved that the following recommendations contained in item 4.3 Capital Expenditure Report be accepted <i>en bloc</i> :  |
|               | SU Chinese Christian Fellowship: That the Capital Expenditure request for \$69.95 be accepted.   |
|               | SU Photography Society: that the Capital Expenditure request for \$500.00 be accepted.   |
|               | Victoria Grimshaw/ Alexander Hanbury-Brown   |
| C&S           | Resolved to extend the meeting for a further 30 minutes.   |
| 088/12        | Anshu de Silva Wijeyeratne/ Victoria Grimshaw  |
|               | 4.4 Constitutional Amendments  |
|               | SU Bushwalkers   |
|               | The members discussed the proposal and decided that due to the issue of civil liability involved, the issue required consultation with the C&S office.   |
| C&S<br>089/12 | Resolved that the proposed Constitutional Amendments of the SU Bushwalkers <i>lie on the table</i> for further discussion at the next scheduled Committee meeting.   |
|               | Anshu de Silva Wijeyeratne/ Victoria Grimshaw  |
|               | Alexander Hanbury-Brown and Jacqui Munro left the meeting at 4:56pm  |
|               | SU French Society  |
|               | Ms. Brown declared her conflict of interest.   |
|               | Ms. Brown spoke to the society's amendment, explaining that since a lot of the club's members went on exchange a lot of higher executive positions ended up vacant in the middle of the year. Thus, the society had nominated to begin electing two people for the position so that if one of the executives did go away on exchange, the handover would be easier and more efficient. |
|               | Mr. de Silva Wijeyeratne asked whether the secondary executives where voted in on the understanding that they would take over the role if necessary.   |



Jacqui Munro returned to the meeting at 4:58pm

Ms. Brown clarified that the society might have a GM in order to elect the new positions.

Mr. de Silva Wijeyeratne added that there was an issue of accountability as it was unclear whether the people sharing the role would both need to be appointed.

Ms. Blundell argued that anyone would need to be voted in for the role officially.

Ms. Munro highlighted the need for the club to include the position in the constitution. Mr. de Silva Wijeyeratne argued that if it could be considered an unofficial practice of the club.

Ms. Munro expressed the need for greater clarification on the matter.

# C&S 090/12

Resolved that the proposed Constitutional Amendments of the SU French Society *lie on the table* for further discussion at the next scheduled committee meeting.

Anshu de Silva Wijeyeratne/ Peta Blundell

Abstentions: Alexandra Brown

# C&S 091/12

SU Greek Society (SUGS)

Resolved to accept Recommendation 3 of the Constitutional Amendments Report.

SU Greek Society (SUGS): that the constitutional amendments be approved pending the issues outlined by the C&S Office be addressed.

Peta Blundell/ Anshu de Silva Wijeyeratne

SU The Combined Medicine Association (COMA)

# C&S 092/12

Resolved to accept Recommendation 5 of the Constitutional Amendments Report.

SU The Combined Medicine Association (COMA): That the constitutional amendments be approved pending the issue by the C&S Office be addressed.

Anshu de Silva Wijeyeratne/ Peta Blundell

Ms. Gayda de Mesa arrived at the meeting 5:10pm

SU Russellian Society:



|               | MINUIES  |
|---------------|--|
| C&S<br>093/12 | Resolved that the GM of the SU Russellian Society be deemed invalid due to a breach of the constitution regarding the fact that the Secretary did not call the meeting.  |
|               | Peta Blundell/ Anshu de Silva Wijeyeratne  |
| C&S<br>094/12 | Recommended that the Clubs and Society's Manager and Clubs and Society's Committee Chair work with the executives of the SU Russellian Society to resolve outstanding issues.  |
|               | Anshu de Silva Wijeyeratne/ Victoria Grimshaw  |
|               | 5. Other Business  |
|               | SU Christian Students Uniting  |
| C&S           | Resolved to <i>reject</i> Recommendation 1 of the Other Business Report.   |
| 095/12        | SU Christian Students Uniting: That the rejection be <i>upheld</i> .   |
|               | Alexandra Brown/ Anshu de Silva Wijeyeratne  |
|               | SU International and Global Studies Society:   |
|               | Mr. Vaheisvaran asked the C&S Office to make a note that the need to upload the executive list at the beginning of the year be made clearer to clubs and societies given the large amount of appeals resulting from confusion. |
|               | Ms. Brown added that it needs to be explained to clubs why they need to upload the executive list again even if it has not been amended.   |
| C&S           | Resolved to <i>reject</i> Recommendation 2 of the Other Business Report.   |
| 096/12        | SU International and Global Studies Society: That the rejection be upheld.   |
|               | Alexandra Brown/ Ganesh Vaheisvaran  |
|               | Abstentions: Peta Blundell   |
| C&S<br>097/12 | SU Medical Society Resolved to approve Recommendation 3 of the Other Business Report.  SU Medical Society: That the appeal be accepted.  Alexandra Brown/Victoria Grimshaw   |
|               | SU Taiwanese Student Association;  |
|               |  |



| C&S<br>098/12 | Mr. Vaheisvaran clarified that Treasurer training did not include training on accommodation pre or post event funding forms.  Resolved to reject Recommendation 4 of the Other Business Report.  SU Taiwanese Student Association: That the rejection be upheld.  Alexandra Brown/ Peta Blundell      |
|---------------|---|
| C&S<br>099/12 | Resolved that the meeting move in-camera  Victoria Grimshaw/ Peta Blundell  |
| C&S<br>100/12 | Resolved that the original resolution <b>C&amp;S 070/12</b> be <i>upheld</i> on the grounds of <b>6.b(ii).a.</b> of the USU C&S Regulations and <b>17.11.1(I).</b> of the USU Regulations and Directors' Duty Statements.  Peta Blundell/ Ganesh Vaheisvaran  Abstentions: Anshu de Silva Wijeyeratne |
| C&S<br>101/12 | Resolved that the meeting move <i>out-of-camera</i> .  Alexandra Brown/Victoria Grimshaw  |
|               | 6. Date of the Next Meeting  Tuesday 19 <sup>th</sup> June 3:00pm in The Faculty Presidents' Room, Level 1 Manning.   |
|               | 7. Close of Meeting The Chair closed the meeting at 5:30pm.   |