

UNIVERSITY OF SYDNEY UNION

University of Sydney Union The University of Sydney NSW 2006 1800 013 201 т (02) 9563 6000 (02) 9563 6216 F

E info@usu.usyd.edu.au w www.usydunion.com

UNIVERSITY OF SYDNEY UNION SECRETARIAT

The attached minutes have been accepted by the Clubs & Societies Committee by resolution as a true and accurate record of the events of the meeting held on the 16th September 2012.

Chair: Zachary Thompson

<u>|6|10|2012</u> Date

University of Sydney Union - creating a dynamic, supportive and inspiring university community



Committee Members	Zachary Thompson (Chair), Karen Chau (Deputy Chair)
Membere	Alexander Hanbury-Brown, Cameron Creigh, Peta Blundell, Ganesh Vaheisvaran, Victoria Grimshaw, Anshu de Silva Wijeyeratne
USU Staff	
	Pamela Wade (Clubs and Societies Officer), Kristy Samal (Committee Secretary), Alistair Cowie
Visitors	Georgia Higgins, Sophie Utz, Joon Kwon, Pauline Oh, Simon Donlon, Jack Mason, Karl San Pedro, Nini Zeng, Danielle Chiaverini, Howell Sze, Edwin Montoya Zorilla

Resolution No#	Details
	The Chair opened the meeting inquorate at 3:08pm.

1. Apologies and Leaves of Absence

The Committee acknowledged formal apologies from Anshu de Silva Wijeyeratne, Victoria Grimshaw and Angela Vogiatsoglou.

2. Minutes

2.1 Regular Minutes Of The Meeting Held 21 August 2012

C&S 148/12 Recommended that the minutes of 21 August 2012 be accepted as a true and accurate record of proceedings, pending amendments outlined by the Committee.

Peta Blundell/ Cameron Creigh

4.General Business

4.1 Clubs and Societies' Manager's Report

Ms. Pamela Wade spoke to the report. She explained that the office had hired two new casual staff that would hopefully be able to help with the backlog in administration. Ms. Wade also referred to the IGM recommendations stating that the proposed amendments would make it a lot less confusing for new club as well as to the need to update the C&S handbook with details regarding months for AGMs to be held. Lastly Ms. Wade highlighted the promising number of C&S Awards nominations received.

Recommended that the Clubs and Societies' Manager's Report be



MINUTES

C&S accepted and that the following recommendations outlined in the report be approved:

- 1) Recommendation that the required number of names of people interested in establishing a club be streamlined with the required number of members required at a club's IGM.
- 2) Recommendation to update the C&S Handbook and inform clubs and societies that AGMs shall not be permitted to be held in the months of August, September or October.

Cameron Creigh/ Alexander Hanbury-Brown

4.2 New Clubs

BocSoc

Mr. Zachary Thompson called for the society representatives to speak to their application.

Mr. Jack Mason, *BocSoc* Treasurer introduced himself and Mr. Simon Donlon, *BocSoc* President. He explained that the club essentially just wanted to play bocce. He stated that they had a few people interested in the society and simply wanted to be able to play the game and provide some beers to members. Mr. Donlon explained that as an outdoor recreation club *BocSoc* would be open to playing other games that members might suggest.

Mr. Thompson opened the floor to questions from the Committee.

Mr. Cameron Creigh enquired whether there was any possibility the society might become competitive. Mr. Mason explained that the club hoped to hold events throughout the semester in the lead up to a big competition at the end of the year. He specified that this would involve in-house teams and would remain internal.

Mr. Creigh asked to clarify whether they would be competing against other University teams. Both speakers stated that they did not think there were many existing bocce teams so they had not significantly considered the option.

Mr. Creigh questioned whether the society had consulted Sydney University Sport and Fitness before forming. Both representatives stated that they had not but would be willing to do so if required to successfully become a club.

Ms. Wade referred to the society's three central aims. She enquired what the society intended in regards to the aim concerning raising awareness for charity groups.

Mr. Mason explained that the society had a few members interested in supporting a charity and thus, the executive had decided that they would nominate a chosen charity at the IGM to support and raise awareness through fundraising from social events the society held throughout the year.

Mr. Alexander Hanbury-Brown highlighted the fact that all of the society



executives resided at St. John's college. He enquired whether all *BocSoc* events would be held at St. John's. Mr. Mason replied that St. John's did provide a good location for playing but that the society's aim did include eventually opening up the society to the wider University community.

Mr. Thompson asked whether the society intended on having a stall at OWeek 2013. Both representatives responded in the affirmative.

Ms. Peta Blundell referred back to Mr. Hanbury-Brown's question regarding St. John's. She enquired whether the society possessed any members who were not from college. Mr. Mason responded that they did have a couple of members who were not from college.

Mr. Donlon added that he had spoken to a few friends before the meeting and upon telling them about the proposed bocce society that they had all expressed interest.

Mr. Thompson thanked the society representatives for their time and explained that the Committee would further discuss their application before sending a recommendation to Board.

<u>MeatSoc</u>

Mr. Thompson asked for the society representative to introduce himself and to speak to his application.

Mr. Howell Sze introduced himself as the President of *MeatSoc* and apologised for the absence of his fellow executives who were all busy. He explained that his ultimate vision for the *Meat Appreciation Society* was to be able to liaise with other clubs. He expressed his desire for MeatSoc, in a few years time, to be able to help sponsor and manage events with other clubs such as weekly BBQs in conjunction with *MeatSoc's* own events like weekly BBQs. Mr. Sze explained that his own inspiration originated after attending a Brazilian BBQ dinner with lots of meat and friends where he experienced a great atmosphere of togetherness that he thought would be great to recreate at University. He added that in addition to BBQs he hoped to be able to have a big end of year event such as a social outing with all the members.

Mr. Thompson thanked the representative for his explanation and opened the floor up to questions from the Committee.

Mr. Ganesh Vaheisvaran asked Mr. Sze to clarify why none of the society's aims mentioned meat given the name of the society. He enquired whether the society was really about meat or meeting. Mr. Sze replied that the name of the society did indeed refer to meat but that his idea of liaising with other clubs and societies meant that the society would also focus on meeting and liaising. Mr. Sze added that he believed sponsoring other club events would help members liaise more effectively with other clubs as well and give them an opportunity and motivation to attend events that they wouldn't normally. He argued that the society was trying to look past just eating meat and look further into the future where greater liaising and communication would occur.



Mr. Creigh highlighted the broad nature of the activities the society intended to hold. He stated that the society did not actually state what it was actually going to do other than tapping into other existing society events. He pointed out that the society did not seem to be looking to run any events themselves.

Mr. Sze explained that he had not realised quite how much detail he had needed to put into the constitution but that the society would probably start off with BBQs to be held during semester followed by taking members out for dinners during the semester breaks.

Mr. Creigh enquired about the other executives' experience in the C&S program. Mr. Sze explained that some of the executives had just been elected onto the finance society and the secretary was part of the finance program in AIESEC. He added that as the majority of executives were first years, they were hoping to learn along the way.

Mr. Thompson thanked Mr. Sze for his time and explained that the Committee would further discuss *MeatSoc's* application before sending a recommendation to Board.

Polo Appreciation Society

Mr. Thompson asked the society representatives to introduce themselves and speak to their application.

Ms. Georgia Higgins introduced herself as the potential president and Ms. Sophie Utz as the potential secretary of the Polo Appreciation Society. Ms. Higgins explained that they were looking to start a club for the appreciation of Polo as there were a few students on campus who actually played the sport but that it remained quite difficult to rally support for the game as people did not generally understand the sport or how to get involved in playing. Ms. Higgins stated that herself and Ms. Utz both played polo about an hour out of Sydney and that if more knowledge was made available regarding the sport and how to get involved, it could lead to a fun and entertaining excursion.

Ms. Higgins described the way in which the Polo Appreciation Society would endeavour to tell people when games were on and help provide information regarding transport. She explained that since the games were usually free it would suit the University student demographic and allow people to get involved in a sport without having to actually train or play, fostering a greater sense of community.

Ms. Utz stated that the club essentially wanted to raise awareness.

Mr. Thompson thanked the representatives and asked the Committee members if they had any questions.

Mr. Vaheisvaran enquired whether the club intended to hold any events on campus. Ms. Higgins replied that they were considering holding more educational events to train people on the basics and skills involved in the



game.

Ms. Blundell asked how the club hoped to use USU funds. Ms. Higgins answered that funds would be spent on educational events, transport and activities such as foot mallet training where a professional could be hired to come and teach members how to use the foot mallets in the ultimate aim to increase members' appreciation for polo and how it is played.

Mr. Hanbury-Brown questioned whether members would be required to provide a lot of their own equipment. Ms. Utz replied that unless members were playing they would not need a lot of equipment. She added that any hired professional would provide equipment such as foot mallets. Mr. Hanbury-Brown clarified that the club would not face storage issues. Ms. Utz stated that this would not be a concern.

Mr. Thompson thanked Ms. Utz and Ms. Higgins and explained that the Committee would discuss the application further and make a recommendation to Board after which the society would be informed of the decision.

Student Ideas Forum

Mr. Thompson thanked the society representatives for coming and asked the society representatives to introduce themselves and speak to their application.

Mr. Edwin Montoya Zorilla thanked the Committee and introduced himself as the *President* of the Student Ideas Forum. Ms. Danielle Chiaverini introduced herself as one of the two *Vice Presidents* of the Student Ideas Forum.

Mr. Montoya Zorilla referred to the aims of the society explaining that he wanted to go through them in order to illustrate the society's individuality and distinctiveness. He recited the first aim of the society as to create, maintain and expand the space on campus where students could engage in interdisciplinary dialogue. He explained that this could be done through events such as the 'World Cafe'.

Ms. Chiaverini added that upon arriving at University, many students became pigeonholed into discussions related to their study, which may not necessarily correlate with how they think on an everyday basis. The aim of the society was therefore to allow students to discuss ideas that expanded across disciplines and permitted thinking and innovation outside the framework of their specific degree.

Ms. Chiaverini argued that the University of Sydney provided a warranted space for the society, which had been modelled on similar societies existing at other universities such as ANU as well as initiatives such as TED talks and the Royal Society for Arts. She pointed out that whilst all of these groups did not fall into one specific discipline, their ideas and discussions were still valuable and allowed for broad conversation.

Mr. Thompson thanked the representatives and opened the floor to questions from the Committee.



Ms. Blundell enquired about the Librarian role, stating her concern that it appeared to represent quite a large responsibility that might become overwhelming. Mr. Montoya Zorilla explained that the role was established more for the utilisation of future executive groups. The role was meant to allow for the storage of ideas to be brought up for further discussion in the future. Ms. Chiaverini added that by including the role the current executive were simply flagging the possibilities so that once the society matured and expanded further, there would be the option of fulfilling such a role.

Mr. Hanbury-Brown asked how often the society intended to hold their events and what scale they were considering.

Mr. Montoya Zorilla replied that the society hoped to have weekly roundtable discussions given the fact that predicted turnout seemed promising as the society already had 123 members on their Facebook page. He added that with a large executive team the society would be able to consider a range of events such as discussions drinks. Ms. Chiaverini stated that the proposed events were quite broad, ranging from big speaker events to soapbox discussions and reading groups. She explained that the first event would depend on what was logistically possible for the club to arrange before the end of the year. Ultimately the society hoped to have events, which could become annual and well-recognised throughout campus.

Ms. Blundell questioned how the society was different from RUSSOC or the Debates teams already existing on campus. Mr. Montoya Zorilla stated that they had a letter from RUSSOC, which evidenced their amenability to the creation of the Student Ideas Forum. In regards to debates, Mr. Montoya Zorilla explained that whilst debates dealt with a range of topics, there was also a focus on the skill of debating and competition rather than developing knowledge. He added that the society wanted to capture discussions that students really desired to talk about.

Ms. Chiaverini clarified that the debates groups required students to think about the format in which they would present their idea whereas the student Ideas Forum wanted to encourage students to present their ideas in their original form, an aspect of the society which Ms. Chiaverini believed was wholly distinctive to other existing societies.

Mr. Thompson thanked the representatives and informed them that the Committee would need to discuss their application further before making a recommendation to Board.

Karen Chau and Zachary Thompson exited the room at 4:40pm Zac Thompson entered the room at 4:42pm Karen Chau entered the room at 4:44pm

Student Outreach to the World

Mr. Thompson thanked the society representatives for coming and asked them to introduce themselves and their society.

Mr. Joon Kwon thanked the Committee for the invitation. He introduced



himself as *President* elect and his fellow representative Ms. Pauline Oh as *Vice-President* elect of Student Outreach to the World (SOW). Mr. Kwon explained that as a Christian ministry, there were existing clubs already part of the program but that SOW was substantially different, subsequently warranting their separate existence on campus.

Mr. Kwon highlighted some aspects of the society, which he believed differentiated them from the other clubs. He stated that worship was a key part of SOW and would be incorporated into weekly meetings. He argued that whilst other Christian clubs held biblical talks and lecture-based activities, SOW would primarily focus on worship. This included prayer and worship songs. He added that the club was focused towards individuals who were relatively inexperienced with church or services and who simply wanted a space to be able to pray and worship.

Mr. Kwon also mentioned that the members would be encouraged to participate in outreach and subsequently mobilise students to do things on campus and for the greater community such as travelling to Cambodia or Thailand. The society would be involved in training people up for these Mission teams by arranging speakers and events.

Mr. Thompson thanked Mr. Kwon and Ms. Oh. He opened the floor to questions and comments from the floor.

Mr. Vaheisvaran enquired as to why SOW, which appeared to be from the same denomination as the EU society, wanted to be a separate entity rather than a branch or subdivision of the EU.

Ms. Oh explained that SOW had already been running without C&S funding and in this time they had grown substantially. She argued that disbanding the current society in order to join the EU would be counterproductive. She also added that SOW provided significantly different experiences and the core function of worship. Ms. Oh refuted the claim that their ministry represented only one demographic stating that the previous year it had been suggested that the club could become part of the Asian Australian group.

Ms. Blundell asked whether SOW had spoken to the EU executives about creating the society. Ms. Oh replied affirmatively, adding that she believed the EU knew SOW was significantly different to the EU given SOWs involvement in training students for missions.

Mr. Kwon added that SOW had been liaising with the executives and defended the overlap as one that existed throughout the faith debate. He argued that SOW did not wish to compromise their values by joining the EU, which prioritised programs not regarded as focal to the SOW.

Ms. Oh explained that SOW already held events such as YouthChat where they would meet on a weekly basis with SOW branches from different universities.

Ms. Blundell questioned whether they had received an actual letter of recognition from the EU. Ms. Oh responded that they could if it was



necessary but that they had avoided including it as they believed it would clutter their application.

Mr. Vaheisvaran enquired what SOW intended to use USU funding for. Ms. Oh replied that the funding would be used for primarily the same purpose as other clubs such as room bookings, fundraising for mission trips and raising awareness of why mission trips are necessary. Mr. Kwon added that they would also be utilising the printing resources to promote awareness as well as the overall fact that acknowledgement by the C&S Program would provide them with greater recognition and legitimacy.

Mr. Thompson thanked the society representatives for coming and explained that the Committee would discuss the application in greater depth before a recommendation was made for Board.

Ganesh Vaheisvaran exited the room at 4:50pm Ganesh Vaheisvaran entered the room at 4:53pm

Sydney Alliance Student Association

Mr. Thompson thanked the society representatives for attending. He asked them to speak to their new club application.

Mr. Karl San Pedro introduced himself and Ms. Nini Zeng. He explained that their society wished to become the first university branch of the Sydney Alliance community faith organisation. He stated that their society wished to replicate the main goal of engaging the wider community through coordinating and networking among the various community organisations in Sydney. He exclaimed that the society wanted to assist building long-term networks with these organisations in an effort to manage community concerns.

Ms. Zeng proceeded to clarify the practical side of the society. She explained that training sessions would be provided based on what the executives learned from the community organisations. She suggested that this training could occur in workshop sessions or on camps. Ms. Zeng expressed the society's desire to one day become a Sydney Alliance partner and represent the student voice in the community.

Mr. Creigh enquired whether the society intended to hold events besides training. Mr. San Pedro replied in the affirmative, stating that training was only the society's core goal but was a necessary prerequisite. He suggested that the society could also conduct listening campaigns to ascertain the community's concerns.

Mr. Creigh questioned whether there would be any event specifically focused towards engaging university students and USU members. Mr. San Pedro responded that they were hoping to network and engage with existing clubs on campus. He stated that one option included an annual dinner with key leaders to be invited from the campus and wider community.

Mr. Thompson thanked Mr. San Pedro and explained that the Committee would need to discuss the application further before arriving at a decision.



Mr. Thompson suggested that the Committee proceed directly to approving and rejecting the new club applications.

BocSoc

Mr. Thompson voiced his concerns that the club would be too college focused but could alternatively also assist with a greater involvement of college students in the C&S Program. Mr. Creigh argued that the club seemed unlikely to encourage engagement within the wider community. Mr. Hanbury- Brown added that there appeared to be a high risk of the club being taken over purely for the benefit of the college boys running the executive. He enquired whether it might be possible to acquire proof to ensure this was not the case.

Ms. Wade questioned the club's motive to raise money for a charity, which they had not yet specified.

Mr. Creigh highlighted the fact that the Committee could not reject the club on a constitutional basis and would therefore have to wait for the club to prove itself during its probationary period.

C&S Recommended that the New Club Application of BocSoc be accepted, pending the recommendations outlined by the C&S Office.

Alexander Hanbury-Brown/Cameron Creigh

Meat Soc

Mr. Vaheisvaran stated that it seemed only fair for a MeatSoc to exist on campus if there was already a Vegesoc, however it needed to shift its focus more to meat.

Mr. Hanbury-Brown agreed, arguing that the club's proposal appeared to depend on a lot of joint events rather than independently hosting its own activities.

Mr. Creigh stated that the society's aims were too broad.

Mr. Thompson enquired what Ms. Angela Vogiatsoglou's thoughts were on the application. Ms. Wade spoke to Ms. Vogiatsoglou's recommendation.

Recommended that the New Club Application of the Meat Society beC&Srejected on the grounds of failure to comply with 6.a.ii.c. of the USU151/12C&S Regulations:

Provide sufficient evidence in their application that they are substantially different from existing clubs and societies in the Program.

Cameron Creigh/ Peta Blundell

Polo Society



Ms. Blundell enquired whether the society would be able to claim funding for transport. Ms. Wade explained that whilst private transport such as taxi or train fares could not be funded, the society could receive funding if they hired a coach.

Mr. Vaheisvaran argued that the society did not appear focused on including the campus community. Mr. Thompson disagreed, stating that the society did seem to be genuinely interested in increasing awareness about the sport.

Mr. Hanbury-Brown added that the club would be popular at events such as O-Week and could end up being quite successful if given the opportunity.

C&S Recommended that the New Club Application of the Polo Society be approved.

Alexander Hanbury-Brown/ Peta Blundell

Student Ideas Forum

Ms. Blundell expressed her support for the society.

Mr. Thompson enquired whether the society was too similar to others already existing on campus. Ms. Blundell pointed out that as the speakers mentioned, the forums intended to be different from a debating format. Mr. Vaheisvaran disagreed, arguing that the society did not really present any focused aims other than chatting. Ms. Blundell consented that it could be considered a formalised lunch discussion.

Mr. Hanbury-Brown agreed, stating that there were several clubs already facilitation conversation from different faculty perspectives. Ms. Blundell questioned whether this included inter-disciplinary discussion. Mr. Vaheisvaran highlighted debates that occurred on campus such as the Catholic versus Islam debate. Ms. Blundell protested that these occurred only once a year.

Ms. Wade referred to the possibilities provided by Interfaith week. She added that the Committee members should consider Alistair Cowie's recommendation.

Recommended that the New Club Application of the Student IdeasC&SForum be rejected on the grounds of failure to comply with 6.a.ii.c. of153/12the USU C&S Regulations:

Provide sufficient evidence in their application that they are substantially different from existing clubs and societies in the Program.

Ganesh Vaheisvaran/ Alexander Hanbury-Brown

Student Outreach to the World



Ms. Wade pointed out that this was the fifth application the society had submitted in an attempt to become a recognised club. She stated that the C&S Office had been continually asking the club executives to come in to the office to discuss their applications.

Mr. Vaheisvaran stated his belief that the club was too similar to the EU.

Mr. Hanbury-Brown also mentioned the lack of a letter of support from the EU. Ms. Wade agreed, stating that it did not show much promise of good administration if the club were unable to submit the appropriate documents upon initial application.

Ms. Wade suggested that the Committee could table the application and wait for further documentation. The members agreed.

C&S Recommended that the New Club Application of Student Outreach to 154/12 the World *lie on the table* for further discussion at the next meeting, pending the submission of a letter from the EU Society stipulating their support for the establishment of the club.

Cameron Creigh/Peta Blundell

Sydney Alliance Student Association

Ms. Karen Chau stated that the society's primary focus appeared to be community based work.

Mr. Hanbury-Brown pointed out that the Sydney Alliance organisation had existed for less than a year.

Ms. Blundell added that it was unclear what a junior chapter meant and what the roles entailed. Mr. Creigh explained that the club wanted to be able to attend training sessions and then be able to deliver the same training to its members. Mr. Creigh suggested that a probationary period would allow the society to prove itself.

Recommended that the New Club Application of the Sydney Alliance Students Association be approved.

C&S 155/12

Alexander Hanbury-Brown/ Cameron Creigh

The Apprentice

Ms. Blundell questioned whether the society should be made to come to the meeting. Mr. Vaheisvaran disagreed, stating that the society had already been invited and had not turned up.

Ms. Blundell voiced her concern regarding the lack of longevity the society presented. Mr. Vaheisvaran agreed with her assessment. Ms. Blundell suggested that this type of event could be considered more of an annual or biannual activity rather than the basis of a new club.



Recommended that the New Club Application of The Apprentice be rejected but that the application be referred to the USU Programs Department for further consideration.

C&S 156/12

Peta Blundell/ Alexander Hanbury-Brown

4.3 Capital Expenditure

The members briefly discussed the applications before coming to an agreement to accept *en bloc*.

C&S Recommended that the following Capex requests be accepted *en bloc:*

157/12

SU Bushwalkers Society: That the Capital Expenditure request for \$1780.80 be funded for only \$182.08 as this will exhaust club's \$2000 Special Purpose Funding cap.

SU Creative Anachronists: That the Capital Expenditure request for \$212.05 be *approved.*

SU Disney Appreciation Society: That the Capital Expenditure request for \$410.25 be *approved.*

Mandelbaum Residents Society: That the Capital Expenditure request for \$437 be *approved, pending* the purchase and receipt be provided.

University of Sydney Croquet Club: That the Capital Expenditure for \$466.53 be *approved* once Australia tax receipt is provided.

Peta Blundell/ Ganesh Vaheisvaran

4.4 Constitutional Amendments

SU Disney Appreciation Society

Recommended that the proposed Constitutional Amendments of SUC&SDisney Appreciation Society be approved, pending the AGM month158/12issue outlined by the C&S Office be addressed.

Peta Blundell/ Ganesh Vaheisvaran

SU Gaius Gracchus

Ms. Wade clarified that the society had not determined a set membership fee which made the end of year difficult for the auditors. Mr. Thompson suggested that the society be made to attend a meeting. Ms. Wade disagreed, arguing that a resolution needed to be confirmed promptly.

C&S Recommended that the proposed Constitutional Amendments of SU



159/12 Gaius Gracchus be approved, pending the inclusion of a set membership fee.

Cameron Creigh/ Peta Blundell

SU Oxfam Sydney University

Ms. Kristy Samal, *President* of Oxfam SU, declared a conflict of interest and spoke to the amendment. She explained that the amendment had taken several months to come to Committee and by this time the decision to avoid moving AGMs to September had already been agreed. She stated that the society understood that the application would be rejected.

C&S Recommended that the proposed Constitutional Amendments of Oxfam Sydney University be rejected.

Cameron Creigh/ Alexander Hanbury-Brown

C&S Recommended to extend the meeting by an extra 30 mins. 161/12

Peta Blundell/ Cameron Creigh

SU Medical Society

Ms. Wade explained that the club had not amendend their constitution in a number of years. Mr. Creigh argued that the club was pushing through changes slowly.

Mr. Vaheisvaran enquired whether one needed to pay to join SUMS. Ms. Wade explained that the C&S Office needed to discuss membership fees and categories with the society.

C&S Recommended that the proposed Constitutional Amendments of SU 162/12 Medical Society be approved pending the membership issues outlined by the C&S Office be addressed.

Peta Blundell/ Alexander Hanbury-Brown

5. Other Business

Mechanical Undergraduate Society

Ms. Wade clarified that the society was appealing two rejections. She explained that the Office had rejected five instances so far but that there were a subsequent three to be addressed. Ms. Wade explained that after failing to submit the post event form 14 days after the event a society receives an email notifying them of the cut off.

Mr. Vaheisvaran clarified that the club had no money since the cheques were bouncing. Ms. Wade argued that this did mean the club was



necessarily desolate as they could still get in contact with their auditors.

Mr. Creigh summarised the situation as a case of bad administration.

Mr. Hanbury-Brown stated that it was a challenging situation as the club clearly needed funds. Mr. Thompson disagreed, stating that this was no excuse given their warnings.

Recommended that the funding appeal submitted by the Mechanical Undergraduate Society be rejected and that the club arrange a meeting with the C&S Office to be retrained on C&S Event Funding Requirements.

C&S 163/12

2 Peta Blundell/ Ganesh Vaheisvaran

<u>SUTEKH</u>

Ms. Wade explained that the club was so popular that it did not have enough money to cater for all its members.

Recommended that the SUTEKH request for an increase in their annual funding cap be approved.

C&S Cameron Creigh/ Alexander Hanbury-Brown 164/12

5.1 C&S Chair Update

Ecosoc

Mr. Thompson briefed the Committee on updates regarding EcoSoc. He explained that the AGM had been rescheduled for the 20th of September and that the electoral role would only consist of members who signed up before O-Week 2012. Presently no one had been nominated for executive. He explained that he would be chairing the AGM whilst Rhys Pogonoski would be Returning Officer and Brigid Dixon would survey the door.

Workstreams

Ms. Chau spoke to the workstreams explaining her desire to coordinate the different streams as well as consider creating one that would focus on performing arts societies. She also suggested an initiative whereby committee members would hold informal consultation periods for members or executives to avoid overwhelming the C&S Committee Meeting.

Mr. Thompson added that the backlog experienced by the C&S Office illustrated the need for consultative roles and a share folder of FAQs which would be easily accessible for committee members.

Mr. Thompson proceeded to establish a meeting time for the C&S Awards workstream to meet.



Next Meeting: Tuesday 16 September 2012 at 2pm

The chair declared the meeting closed at 4:45pm