



UNIVERSITY OF SYDNEY UNION

**University of Sydney Union**  
The University of Sydney  
NSW 2006

T 1800 013 201  
(02) 9563 6000

F (02) 9563 6216

E [info@usu.usyd.edu.au](mailto:info@usu.usyd.edu.au)

W [www.usydunion.com](http://www.usydunion.com)

## UNIVERSITY OF SYDNEY UNION SECRETARIAT

The attached minutes have been accepted by The Debates Committee by resolution as a true and accurate record of the events of the meeting held on 12 February 2009.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Patrick Bateman', written over a horizontal line.

Chair – Patrick Bateman

A handwritten date '12/3/09' in black ink, written over a horizontal line.

Date



**MINUTES OF A MEETING OF THE DEBATES COMMITTEE  
HELD AT 5:00 P.M. ON THURSDAY 12 FEBRUARY 2009  
IN THE READING ROOM, HOLME BUILDING**

**PRESENT**

- MEMBERS:** Ruchir Punjabi, President (*ex officio*)  
Patrick Bateman, Chair  
Douglas Thompson, Deputy Chair  
Steven Hind, Director of Debates  
Stephanie D'Souza  
Angela Evans  
Ellen Ferrington Michaelis  
Naomi Hart  
Alice Hudson  
Eleanor Jones  
David Mann  
Tim Mooney  
Sriram Srikumar  
Andrew Thomas  
Daniel Wodak, Immediate Past Director of Debates (*non-voting*)
- VISITORS:** Courtney Tight, Director  
Katherine Connolly  
Bronwyn Cowell  
Eliza Forsyth  
Thomas Kaldor
- UNION STAFF:** Alistair Cowie, Director Student Programmes  
Khym Scott, Committee Secretary

---

The Chair declared the meeting open at 5:07pm.

**1. APOLOGIES AND LEAVES OF ABSENCE**

**DC 001/09 RESOLVED** that apologies be accepted from Ms. Alice Dixon and Mr. Jacques McElhone, that early departure be granted to Mr. Douglas Thompson, and that late arrival be granted to Mr. Ruchir Punjabi.

*Stephanie D'Souza / Angela Evans*

2. **MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETINGS**

DC 002/09 **RESOLVED** that the minutes of the previous meetings held 4 November and 6 November 2008 be accepted as true and accurate records of proceedings *en bloc*.

*Tim Mooney / Stephanie D'Souza*

3. **BUSINESS ARISING FROM THE MINUTES**

There was no business arising from the minutes.

4. **MOTIONS ON NOTICE**

4.1 ***Amendments to the Debates Committee Guidelines and Procedures***

The Director of Debates spoke to the motion. He tabled an explanatory memorandum and proposed amendments to the motion. He advised the Committee that the motion was to procedurally replace the old points system and had been developed over the past few weeks in consultation with Mr. Tim Mooney and Ms. Naomi Hart. He reported that the aim was to make the system simpler and more targeted. He noted that the current system had evolved in the last two years and become too easy to accrue 100 points. He added that at a minimum it should help the rest of the society.

The Director of Debates addressed that items of honour were no longer worth points such as participating in a public demonstration debate, and outlined the two-tier appeal process. He explained that the onus was now on individuals to be proactive and contact the Director of Debates.

**[Ms. Eliza Forsyth arrived at the meeting at 5:15pm.]**

Ms. Naomi Hart believed that the new points system underestimated public demonstration debating, suggesting that points continue to be awarded.

The Director of Debates noted it as an oversight and suggested they become available as service points.

**[Mr. Ruchir Punjabi arrived at the meeting at 5:18pm.]**

The Chair advised the Committee that there was no guarantee on funding to be received in 2009 and so it was important to address but noted it could be potentially different from last year. He warned that the Committee may have to put different structures in place and investigate other avenues of funding.

Ms. Hart put that the Director of Debates would be more knowledgeable on the appeals advisory panel than the contingent captain.

The Director of Debates noted the amendment.

Ms. Angela Evans enquired about accruing points via attending Committee meetings.

The Immediate Past Director of Debates advised that a yearly cap used to be placed on individuals attending Committee meetings.

The Director of Debates reasoned that those who regularly attend Committee meetings are those who will have little difficulty accruing points via other means. He noted that he had not thought it necessary to cap points accrued by non-Members via attending Committee meetings due to the prospect of co-opting new Members to the Committee throughout the year.

Ms. Evans recalled discussion of intending to use points to provide incentives for individuals to mentor or coach at Regionals.

The Director of Debates put that pro-am debating was to primarily help individuals on the same team, rather than the debating society as a whole. He further noted that he wished to provide incentive to adjudicate at Regionals.

Ms. Stephanie D'Souza disagreed that the value of pro-am debating was limited.

Ms. Ellen Ferrington Michaelis noted that the old points system heavily differentiated categories of debating and placed value on development with a focus on pro-am debating.

The Director of Debates proposed that the points received by novices remain unchanged, but that points received by pros be amended on the provision that they are paired by the Director of Debates.

The Deputy Chair put that mentoring was more relevant to culture where older debaters are happy to take on younger debaters and to also feel wanted as a part of the society.

Ms. Hart agreed that pros should be assigned amateurs but noted that the new points system placed a large onus on pros already; proposing that they receive more points but should not be a required accrue ment.

Ms. Bronwyn Cowell suggested that it was also worthwhile for individuals to debate with other individuals with whom they were likely to be selected, especially in the lead up to tournaments.

The Director of Debates reminded the Committee that the Sydney Open was also an option.

The Chair raised a concern that a requirement of novices to accrue fifty points did not have a purpose nor was in the spirit of the system.

The Director of Debates stated that it was good for novices to be accumulating points if they were going to be funded for Australs.

The Chair raised the importance of not using the funding available to the Committee to shape interaction.

Ms. Evans reminded the Committee of the definition of a novice. She asked why debaters were required to attain the same number of points to receive funding for Australs and for Worlds when funding levels were different.

The Director of Debates pointed out that a smaller number of people attend Worlds.

The Immediate Past Director of Debates suggested that a novice could attend a tournament without funding, and that a provisional requirement be made individually than affecting all novices.

**[Mr. Douglas Thompson departed from the meeting at 5:40pm.]**

The Director Student Programmes noted he was unsure what funding the USU would be able to provide in 2009.

Ms. Hart argued that if a novice were selected they should be made to go to Regionals, with duty to the society and to their team.

**[Mr. Douglas Thompson returned to the meeting at 5:41pm.]**

The Director Student Programmes suggested investigating other ways to encourage new members' participation in the society early on.

Ms. Cowell suggested being more proactive about advertising.

The Chair raised the issue of the discretion of the Director of Debates in the proposed guidelines.

The Deputy Chair put that while the Director of Debates may be in the best position the discretion should not come down directly to the Director of Debates.

The Director of Debates clarified that they would only be the first port of call, and that they would be constrained to allocate makeup points in another category.

Ms. Hart put that it was not advisable to leave decisions to a panel at the end of each Semester, and that due to a fair amount of leeway in discretion examples should be laid out.

**[Ms. Katherine Connolly departed from the meeting at 5:52pm.]**

The Chair raised a further concern that points related to the Kirby Cup and other participation in public speaking roles had been removed.

**[Ms. Bronwyn Cowell departed from the meeting at 5:52pm.]**

The Director of Debates advised that the system was aimed at providing incentive and getting people to go to Australs, and that the category was irrelevant to Australs.

Ms. Hart agreed that adjudicating at Kirby was generally asked of Committee Members or past winners.

The President suggested formalising the funding process with a possible disclaimer, upon having a prudent look at a possible fixed structure based on a number of scholarships to be given at the start of the year. He suggested that if the structure was laid on the table any uncertainty could be clarified earlier on.

**[Ms. Katherine Connolly and Ms. Bronwyn Cowell returned to the meeting at 5:55pm.]**

The Director of Debates reminded the Committee of discussion in 2008 of a division of scholarships, noting it to be spoken about at the next meeting.

The Director Student Programmes suggested forming a working party.

**DC 003/09 RESOLVED** that the *Debates Committee Guidelines and Procedures* be amended to alter sections 8.1 and 8.2 and insert section 8.3 and re-number any subsequent points as necessary.

## **8. THE POINTS SYSTEM**

### **~~8.1~~ Tournament Funding**

~~8.1.1 In order to be eligible for Union funding to attend Australs or Worlds, a student who has previously received funding to debate or adjudicate at Australs or Worlds must accrue 100 points.~~

~~8.1.2 A student who has not received Union funding to debate or adjudicate at Australs or Worlds is not required to accrue any points to receive funding for these tournaments.~~

~~8.1.3 The failure to fulfil the points requirement for a tournament does not preclude a debater's selection, it only precludes their USU funding.~~

### **~~8.2~~ The Points Criteria**

~~8.2.1 A student must accrue 100 points for each Australs/Worlds for which he/she wishes to receive funding.~~

~~8.2.2 Points are accrued over the course of a calendar year.~~

~~8.2.3 Points used to receive funding for Australs may not also be used to receive funding for Worlds. Points accrued in first semester which have not been used to receive funding for Australs (either because a student did not receive funding for Australs, or had accrued more than 100 points in first semester) may be used to contribute towards a total for receiving funding for Worlds.~~

8.3.4 ~~Points may be accrued by participating in the activities in the table below. Some of these activities are ‘capped’; that is, they can earn a student a maximum number of points per semester, irrespective of the number of occasions on which he/she participates in them.~~

<b>Activity</b>	<b>Points allocated</b>	<b>Maximum points that can be earned per semester</b>
<del>Participate in the USU Schools Day</del>	<del>40 points</del>	<del>n/a</del>
<del>Participate in a public debate (debate, chair or adjudicate)</del>	<del>10 points</del>	<del>n/a</del>
<del>Adjudicate at a tournament other than one of the Union’s four major tournaments*</del>	<del>40 points</del>	<del>n/a</del>
<del>Debate at Regionals as an ‘amateur’, or in the team in which you have been selected for one of the Unions four major Intersarsity tournament.</del>	<del>10 points#</del>	<del>60 points#</del>
<del>Debate at Regionals as the only ‘pro’ in a team with an ‘amateur’, or in the team in which you have been selected for one of the Unions four major Intersarsity tournament.</del>	<del>10 points#</del>	<del>60 points#</del>
<del>Debate at Regionals as a ‘pro’ with other ‘pros’, and not in a team in which you have been selected for one of the Unions four major Intersarsity tournament.</del>	<del>5 points#</del>	<del>60 points#</del>
<del>Adjudicate (this could be at regionals, the Justice Kirby Plain Speaking Competition, and other events)</del>	<del>20 points</del>	<del>n/a</del>
<del>Debate at a tournament other than one of the Union’s four major tournaments*</del>	<del>10 points</del>	<del>n/a</del>
<del>Attend a Debates Committee meeting</del>	<del>10 points</del>	<del>10 points</del>
<del>Attend the Debates stall at O Week or Re-O Day</del>	<del>10 points per 2 hour shift</del>	<del>20 points</del>
<del>Serve as a member of the central organising committee of a major intersarsity tournament as explained in section 4*</del>	<del>20 points</del>	<del>n/a</del>
<del>Convene a major intersarsity tournament*</del>	<del>50 points</del>	<del>n/a</del>
<del>Coach an Easters team, fulfilling the minimum expected requirements of that position as outlined by the Development Officer and Director of Debates. (Note that points will be accrued separately for adjudicating at Regionals even where it a part of the requirements of this position.)</del>	<del>20 points</del>	<del>20 points</del>
<del>Providing a Seminar at Regionals</del>	<del>20 points</del>	<del>n/a</del>

~~\*The major tournaments, defined later in the document, comprise Easters, Australs, Women’s and Worlds. Other tournaments include Grand Slam, the Sydney Open, Sydney and Melbourne Minis and other invitational tournaments.~~

~~# An individual may only accrue points under one of the three above categories for debating at Regionals per Regionals debate in which they participate. The cap for Regionals debates applies to the sum total of all points accrued under these three categories.~~

~~NB: Points cannot be earned by debating or adjudicating at the four major intervarsity tournaments.~~

~~8.3.5 The Director of Debates will keep a register to record the participation of students in the above activities. A student cannot earn points unless his/her participation is recorded in this register, and it is the responsibility of each student to approach the Director and ensure his/her participation is recorded. The Director, or a representative of him/her, will bring this register to activities and events, including regionals and mini tournaments, to ensure that it provides an accurate account.~~

~~8.3.6 If a student fails to receive the required number of points, they may present a case to the Union's President, Vice President and Member Services Manager, outlining arguments for why they should receive funding despite receiving insufficient points. Funding may be allowed if this panel believes that the student has contributed significantly to the debates community and has made genuine and reasonable attempts to fulfil the points requirements.~~

~~8.3.7 Points will be attributed retrospectively, in accordance with the above specifications, to individuals who have provided Seminars or Coached Easters teams in the calendar year of 2008.~~

## **8.1 Requirement for funding**

8.1.1 *Never funded for Australs or Worlds as a debater or adjudicator: 50 debating points.*

8.1.2 *Never funded to debate at Australs or Worlds, but funded as an adjudicator at either Australs or Worlds: 50 service points, 25 debating points and 25 adjudication points.*

8.1.3 *Previously funded to debate at Australs or Worlds: 50 service points, 25 debating points, 75 adjudication points.*

## **8.2 Points may be allocated for (categories of points)**

### **8.2.1 Service points**

- i. *Two hour shift at O-Week stall: 20 points*
- ii. *Attend a Schools Day: 30 points*
- iii. *Attend a meeting of the Debates Committee: 20 points*
- iv. *Deliver a seminar at Regionals: 20 points*
- v. *Select Easters teams: 30 points*

### **8.2.2 Adjudication points**

- i. *Adjudicate an entire internal tournament (i.e. Grand Slam, Sydney Open): 30 points*
- ii. *Adjudicate at Regionals: 20 points*
- iii. *Coach an Easters Team: 30 points in addition to adjudication points for adjudicating that team at Regionals*
  - a. *For this requirement to be met, the coach must adjudicate their team at least once at Regionals and on at least one other occasion.*

### **8.2.3 Debating Points**

- i. *Debate at an internal or external tournament (i.e. Grand Slam, Sydney Open): 25 points*
- ii. *Debate at Regionals: 20 points*

## **8.3 Exceptional circumstances and contingencies**

8.3.1 *Inability to fulfill requirements in one or more categories: Where a person feels that they will not be able to meet the points requirement under one or more of the three categories they should raise this with the Director of Debates. In circumstances*



where the Director feels that the person is genuinely unable to meet the requirement, the Director may require the person to gain an equal number of points in a different category.

- i. To guide the Director, being genuinely unable includes circumstances such as the following:
  - a. Inability to attend Regionals because of class-clash
  - b. Inability to attend weekend events because of serious work commitments
  - c. Inability to attend events because of absense from Sydney
- ii. The Director should only grant such dispensation where the person requesting it has made reasonable attempts to raise the problem as soon as it was apparent to them and where they cannot accrue sufficient points in the correct category

#### 8.3.2 Carryover of points between Semesters

- i. Points accrued in one calander year cannot be used to secure funding in a later year.
- ii. If a person receives funding to attend Australs, the points they have accrued will be subtracted from the points required for funding (see 1) and any balance will carry over to Semester Two.

#### 8.3.3 Failure to meet points requirement and appeals

- i. Where a person is selected as a debater or adjudicator but fails to meet their points requirement in any category, subject to special dispensation being granted under 3.a, they will not receive funding to attend the tournament in question.
- ii. In this situation the person has the right to appeal this outcome. Their appeal will be heard by a panel consisting of the Union Services Manager, the President of the Union and the Vice-President of the Union and advised by the Director of Debates and the Contingent Captain for the tournament in question.
- iii. Appeals will be granted only in exceptional circumstances.
- iv. In considering an appeal, the panel should consider:
  - a. The reason that the person failed to meet their requirements
  - b. Whether or not the person took reasonable steps to avoid failing to meet their requirements
  - c. The past contribution of that person to the Debates programme
  - d. The effect on the contingent of that person not receiving funding

8.4 In order to be eligible to attend any major tournament, a student must be both an Access Card holder and a member of the University of Sydney Union.

8.5 A student may not represent the Union at a major intervarsity tournament if he/she has any outstanding debts to the Union.

*Steven Hind / Tim Mooney*

**[Carried unanimously]**

**DC 004/09 RESOLVED** that those who participate in the upcoming O-Week demonstration debate be allocated fifteen service points.

*Stephanie D'Souza / Ellen Ferrington Michaelis*

## **5. GENERAL BUSINESS**

### **5.1 Reports**

### 5.1.1 Director of Debates

The Director of Debates reported that Schools Day was to be held 20 February 2009, and that the response so far had been excellent with thirty-one RSVPs at present. He encouraged the Committee to attend, stating it would be both useful and worth service points.

**DC 005/09** **RESOLVED** that Mr. Andrew Thomas and Ms. Angela Evans be thanked for their work as Schools' Programmes Directors so far.

#### *Acclamation*

The Director of Debates further reported that the Development Officers had formalised a draft schedule of seminars, that the Committee could now use to being contacting presenters and to circulate at O-Week.

**DC 006/09** **RESOLVED** that Mr. Tim Mooney and Ms. Naomi Hart be thanked for their work as Development Officers so far.

#### *Acclamation*

The Director of Debates suggested that the first social event of 2009 be a reunion BBQ and demonstration debate. He also reported that Ms. Naomi Oreb had been confirmed as Chief Adjudicator of Grand Slam.

**DC 007/09** **RESOLVED** that the Report of the Director of Debates be accepted.

#### *Douglas Thompson / Sriram Srikumar*

### 5.1.2 Honorary Treasurer for Debates

In the absence of the Honorary Treasurer for Debates, the Director Student Programmes reported that some funding had been allocated for tournament registrations, noting an imperative contingent on the Committee making money at the three Schools Days.

### 5.1.3 Intersivity Directors

Ms. D'Souza reported that she had received an email concerning Easters, stating that the University of Queensland had guaranteed at least six teams, noting a hope to expand to between ten and fifteen teams. She informed the Committee that the pre-registration dates were 16 February to 6 March 2009 to provide estimates of teams, with registration from 9 March 2009 and payment due by 20 March 2009.

Ms. D'Souza also informed the Committee that Australs would be held 2 July to 10 July 2009, with a pre-registration cap of fourteen teams.

**[Mr. Douglas Thompson departed from the meeting at 6:08pm.]**

Ms. D'Souza noted that they were currently discussing timelines for Easters trials with dates tentatively set at 23 March 2009 with callbacks on 25 March 2009. She asked the Committee to discuss selectors.

**DC 008/09** **RESOLVED** that discussion be moved *in camera* and that visitors be invited to remain.

*Steven Hind / Ellen Ferrington Michaelis*

**DC 009/09** **RESOLVED** that discussion be moved *ex camera*.

*Steven Hind / Andrew Thomas*

**DC 010/09** **RESOLVED** that the Report of the Intervarsity Directors be accepted.

*Tim Mooney / Naomi Hart*

#### 5.1.4 Women's Directors

Ms. Alice Hudson reported that they were organising a social event similar to 2008, and advised that they were speaking to Ms. Sophie Stone.

Ms. Ferrington Michaelis advised that the event could possibly be held in Week 3, and that it would be a casual event with a possible guest speaker.

Ms. Hart asked whether the event would be held before or instead of the seminar.

**DC 011/09** **RESOLVED** that the Report of the Women's Directors be accepted

*Steven Hind / Stephanie D'Souza*

#### 5.1.5 Communications Directors

Mr. Sriram Srikumar reported that he had emailed Mr. Kishan Jasani who advised that the website was ready but waiting on USU approval. He also reminded the Committee that some Members still needed to send their 100 word bio for the Debates handbook.

**DC 012/09** **RESOLVED** that the Report of the Communications Directors be accepted and that it be recommended that Mr. Kishan Jasani meet with the Director Student Programmes.

*Steven Hind / Tim Mooney*

#### 5.1.6 Union Competitions Directors

Ms. D'Souza reported that it was largely all organised, advising that they wanted the dates 21 and 22 March 2009, directly preceding Easters trials. She

noted that Mr. Dominic Thurbon had agreed to be tab director. She asked the Committee whether the pro-am rule of 2008 should be retained.

Ms. Hart noted that it was usually the decision of the Directors.

Mr. Andrew Thomas asked whether debaters should be charged \$30-\$40 and adjudicators \$10.

Ms. Katherine Connolly advised that adjudicators are not usually charged.

**DC 013/09** **RESOLVED** that the Report of the Union Competitions Directors be accepted.

*Ellen Ferrington Michaelis / Sriram Srikumar*

#### 5.1.7 Schools' Programmes Directors

Ms. Evans reported that Schools Day preparation was going well, with registration forms distributed yesterday. She noted that a new system was being employed to distribute information to more schools in less time. She suggested that hours of data entry in the database to be created could be used as hours of service points.

The Director of Debates advised that Mr. Ivan Ah Sam had developed a skeleton of the day's structure to give to presenters. He noted that it any were uncomfortable about coaching that there would generally be someone to assist.

Ms. Hart asked when registration would close.

Ms. Evans highlighted that a problem in the system had meant only twenty-six schools received information prior to yesterday, and that registration would not close until 18 February 2009.

**DC 014/09** **RESOLVED** that the Report of the Schools' Programmes Directors be accepted.

*Stephanie D'Souza / Sriram Srikumar*

#### 5.1.8 Social Director

Mr. David Mann reported that he was working with a continuation of the same hosts at tournaments of Grand Slam and Easters. He noted that the BBQ to be held in the first few weeks was a priority.

**DC 015/09** **RESOLVED** that the Report of the Social Director be accepted.

*Angela Evans / Steven Hind*

#### 5.1.9 Development Officers

The Development Officers tabled a draft seminar programme.

Mr. Tim Mooney reported that people listed on the draft programme had not yet been contacted, and that it was a similar structure to the 2008 programme but less ad hoc due to organisation beginning prior to Semester. He explained that it started with basic help with the transition between high school and university, followed by seminars applicable to all levels, then by seminars catered towards specific subjects, such as some hosted by University lecturers.

Ms. Hart reported that the 2009 novice development competitions have a more obvious component with a feedback system. She indicated that topic areas would be released prior to allow debaters the potential to research.

Ms. Hart also suggested holding a Schools Day-like set of workshops over one weekend for other universities, such as Notre Dame and the University of New England.

**[Mr. Douglas Thompson departed from the meeting at 6:32pm.]**

Ms. Hart suggested that the weekend would contain seminars and a round robin competition. She put that holding such an event prior to Easters would be good but perhaps unrealistic.

The President suggested sharing and combining the idea with other universities.

Ms. Hart noted that Monash University had a good reputation for development, and that there was a market to be tapped into.

**[Mr. Douglas Thompson returned to the meeting at 6:33pm.]**

The Director of Debates asked that they make sure it is received in the correct spirit. He suggested extending the event to UNSW, UTS and Macquarie, believing that they would love the opportunity to be trained also.

Ms. Hart suggested asking those experienced from other universities to assist, reminding the Committee of the China trip and international development. She informed the Committee that they had established relationships with overseas universities which pay for debaters to travel to visit and train them on rotation.

The Director of Debates advised that while individuals were currently receiving funding he would like to fill a void and have them represent their universities rather than themselves.

**DC 016/09 RESOLVED** that the Committee be granted a time extension of up to thirty minutes.

*Angela Evans / Steven Hind*

Ms. Evans asked whether they had looked into feedback avenues from first-year debaters in ways other than the circulated surveys, such as person-to-person surveying or focus groups.

Ms. Hart advised that the majority of feedback received would be ad hoc, but that they would establish further ideas.

**DC 017/09 RESOLVED** that the Report of the Development Officers be accepted.

*Steven Hind / Ellen Ferrington Michaelis*

## **5.2 Public Speaking**

The Chair indicated that a USU Convenorship and Club during O-Week were possibilities, and advertised that if the opportunity should present itself debaters should take it, such as speaking at Kirby Cup or the O-Week Demonstration Debate.

The Director of Debates put that it would be good for Grand Slam to have a public speaking competition.

## **6. OTHER BUSINESS**

**DC 018/09 RESOLVED** that the Worlds contingent be congratulated.

*Acclamation*

The Immediate Past Director of Debates asked about the Debates Dinner.

The President suggested that the Chair, Director of Debates and the Vice-President meet to discuss possible solutions.

The Director of Debates put that the time may have passed to hold the usual black tie event, suggesting that award be received at the reunion BBQ.

The President further suggested holding two dinners in 2009, but left the decision to the Committee.

The Immediate Past Director of Debates advised that the window of opportunity would pass once Semester began.

**[Mr. Ruchir Punjabi and Mr. Daniel Wodak departed from the meeting at 6:43pm.]**

**DC 019/09 RESOLVED** that discussion be moved *in camera*.

*Stephanie D'Souza / David Mann*

**DC 020/09 RESOLVED** that the Chair be conferred to Mr. Douglas Thompson.

*Steven Hind / Naomi Hart*

- DC 021/09**    **RESOLVED** that Ms. Courtney Tight be invited to remain as a visitor.  
*Andrew Thomas / Stephanie D'Souza*
- DC 022/09**    **RESOLVED** that Ms. Bronwyn Cowell, Ms. Eliza Forsyth and Ms. Katherine Connolly be invited to remain as visitors.  
*Andrew Thomas / Ellen Ferrington Michaelis*
- DC 023/09**    **RESOLVED** that the list of male selectors be accepted.  
*Sriram Srikumar / David Mann*
- DC 024/09**    **RESOLVED** that the Committee be granted a further time extension of up to thirty minutes.  
*Stephanie D'Souza / Angela Evans*
- DC 025/09**    **RESOLVED** that the Chair be conferred to Mr. Patrick Bateman.  
*David Mann / Angela Evans*
- DC 026/09**    **RESOLVED** that the Chair be conferred to Mr. Douglas Thompson.  
*Angela Evans / Alice Hudson*
- DC 027/09**    **RESOLVED** that the list of female selectors be accepted.  
*Ellen Ferrington Michaelis / Alice Hudson*
- DC 028/09**    **RESOLVED** that the merged list of male and female selectors be accepted, where the two highest-ranked male and two highest-ranked female selectors are chosen and the next highest-ranked selector be chosen as the fifth selector regardless of their gender.  
*Alice Hudson / Stephanie D'Souza*
- DC 029/09**    **RESOLVED** that discussion be moved *ex camera*.  
*Andrew Thomas / Stephanie D'Souza*
- DC 030/09**    **RESOLVED** that the Chair be conferred to Mr. Patrick Bateman.  
*Steven Hind / Andrew Thomas*

**7.            NEXT MEETING**

The next meeting of the Committee will be held at 5:00pm on Thursday 12 March 2009 in The Reading Room, Holme Building.

8.

**CLOSE OF MEETING**

With no other business to be attended to the Chair declared the meeting closed at 7:23pm.